



Ranjitha Kumar

**IT for Change** 





The myth of flexibility in gig work: the valorization of of the platform economy as a disruptive force obfuscates the truth of a woman's work day

Women in the gig economy face significant occupational hazards, with exploitative rates of pay and high input (capital) costs

And yet: women's participation in the gig economy is held as a benchmark of progress (labour force participation rate)

Can we assess this claim using the tools of Social Reproduction Theory? Some qualitative insights from gig workers in the beauty and delivery sectors, and women agricultural workers within worker-owned cooperatives.





Algorithmic control of work and leisure - an ever-present dictator of all platformmediated work, even in alternative experiments

A complete subsumption of labour and leisure into the logics of the platform by transforming the counteract of waged and informal work, the former a hallmark of how one sells labour power in the capitalist market, disrupting how we theorise labour-capital relationships

A "gigified" household emerged, where unpaid care work is reorganised largely amongst women in the family and community, but not disrupted as an institution - what does this mean for capitalism's nuclear family unit?





Algorithmic control of work and leisure - an ever-present dictator of all platformmediated work, even in alternative experiments

A complete subsumption of labour and leisure into the logics of the platform by transforming the counteract of waged and informal work, the former a hallmark of how one sells labour power in the capitalist market, disrupting how we theorise labour-capital relationships

A "gigified" household emerged, where unpaid care work is reorganised largely amongst women in the family and community, but not disrupted as an institution - what does this mean for capitalism's nuclear family unit?





Algorithmic control of work and leisure - an ever-present dictator of all platformmediated work, even in alternative experiments

A complete subsumption of labour and leisure into the logics of the platform by transforming the counteract of waged and informal work, the former a hallmark of how one sells labour power in the capitalist market, disrupting how we theorise labour-capital relationships

A "gigified" household emerged, where unpaid care work is reorganised largely amongst women in the family and community, but not disrupted as an institution - what does this mean for capitalism's nuclear family unit?





## **Moving forward:**

- We need the best of both worlds, methodologically. Our inability to capture unpaid women's labour quantitatively also means we are unable to capture changes to the time-use of women.
- 2. Re-imagining the platform model to re-appropriate the affordances of what technology can do: subscription models?
- 3. Alternative experiments need to achieve network effects which can be done only through scaling and publicly-owned platforms

